UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,330	09/29/2005	Naoya Ogata	AKA-0285	1543
	7590 01/29/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLAREN		KALAFUT, STEPHEN J		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence		10/551,330	OGATA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stephen J. Kalafut	1795		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Oc</u>	stoher 2008			
· ·		action is non-final.			
3)□	, 		prosecution as to the	e merite is	
J)الــا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	x pane quayle, 1999 O.B. 1	1, 400 0.0. 210.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 13 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•			
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		he Examiner.		
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-	, ,	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 1795

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono *et al*. (JP 10-83,821) in view of Watarai *et al*. (JP 2003-77,539), for reasons of record.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono *et al*. in view of Watarai *et al*. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gan *et al*. (US 6,759,170), for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed 16 October 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the combination of Ono *et al.* and Watarai *et al.* do not establish a reasonable expectation of success, and thus do not support a rejection of the present claims. This is not persuasive because Watarai *et al.* explicitly teach the benefits of their system, such as mechanical strength and ability to deal with changing temperature (section 0006), and because the ionic conducting phrases of both references are based on polymers, thus showing that the disclosures are mutually relevant. Because one polymer is taught to support another, and because the conductive phases of the respective references are functional equivalents, a reasonable expectation of success would be supported. Regarding the *in situ* polymerization, these claims are drawn to products, and are thus considered for the structural characteristics. The present claims would encompass the composite electrolyte comprising the two polymers,

Page 3

regardless of how made, absent a showing that the particular process necessarily confers a particular structural characteristic to the claimed subject matter.

The amendment of 16 October 2008 has removed the previous rejection under §112.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,330 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen J. Kalafut/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/551,330	OGATA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Stenhen I Kalafut	1795